

## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 200230

10  
ORIGIN NEA-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66011  
DRAFTED BY:NEA/INS:RFOBER, JR.  
APPROVED BY:NEA/INS:DKUX  
----- 095962

R 120205Z AUG 76  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

UNCLAS STATE 200230

FOLLOWING LAHORE 1052 SENT ACTION SECSTATE INFO USIA ISLAMABAD  
THE HAGUE PARIS KABUL TEHRAN AUGUST 9; REPEATED TO YOU QUOTE

UNCLAS LAHORE 1052

USIA FOR IBS, IPS, IOP, INA

E.O. 11652:N/A  
TAGS: OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A.)  
SUBJ: DR. KISSINGER'S AUGUST 9 LAHORE PRESS CONFERENCE TEXT

FOLLOWING IS TEXT SECRETARY KISSINGER'S PRESS CONFERENCE AT  
LAHORE AIRPORT UPON DEPARTURE AUGUST 9:

QUOTE I WOULD LIKE, BEFORE I TAKE YOUR QUESTIONS, TO MAKE TWO  
POINTS. FIRST, ON BEHALF OF MY COLLEAGUES AND MY FAMILY AND  
MYSELF, I WOULD ONCE AGAIN WANT TO EXPRESS OUR APPRECIATION  
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN, PRIME MINISTER AND MRS. BHUTTO  
FOR THE EXTRAORDINARILY WARM RECEPTION THAT WE HAVE HAD HERE.  
OUR TALKS HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED WITH A CORDIALITY THAT HAS MARKED  
OUR RELATIONSHIP AND I CONSIDER THEM BOTH WIDE-RANGING AND VERY  
CONSTRUCTIVE. SECONDLY, ON BEHALF OF THE PRESIDENT, I WANT TO  
EXPRESS THE SYMPATHY OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE FOR THE DISASTER  
THAT HAS BEFALLEN PAKISTAN IN THE FLOOD, AND WE ARE MAKING  
AVAILABLE 200,000 TONS OF WHEAT UNDER PL-480 TO ASSIST IN THE  
RECOVERY. THOSE ARE THE TWO THINGS THAT I WANTED TO SAY  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 200230

AND NOW I WILL BE GLAD TO TAKE YOUR QUESTIONS. PERHAPS WE CAN  
START WITH QUESTIONS FROM PAKISTANI JOURNALISTS BEFORE ORDER  
BREAKS DOWN WHEN AMERICAN JOURNALISTS ARE ASKING QUESTIONS.

Q. MR. SECRETARY, HAS YOUR VISIT IN ANY WAY CHANGED  
PAKISTAN'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THE REPROCESSING PLANT?

A. ARE YOU PAKISTANI?

REPORTER: PAKISTANI-BASED.

A. WELL, THE ISSUE OF REPROCESSING, AS YOU KNOW, IS AN  
EXTREMELY COMPLICATED ISSUE. PAKISTAN HAS BEEN NEGOTIATING WITH  
FRANCE ON A REPROCESSING PLANT FOR MANY YEARS AND HAS  
CONCLUDED AN AGREEMENT WITH RESPECT TO IT. THAT AGREEMENT HAS  
ALL THE INTERNATIONAL SAFEGUARDS THAT WERE CONSIDERED  
APPROPRIATE AT THE TIME THAT THOSE NEGOTIATIONS STARTED. AS  
FAR AS THE UNITED STATES IS CONCERNED, WE HAVE, AS WE HAVE  
STUDIED THE PROBLEM, DEVELOPED INCREASING CONCERN ABOUT  
THE SPREAD OF REPROCESSING PLANTS, EVEN WITH THE  
SAFEGUARDS THAT WERE CONSIDERED APPROPRIATE SEVERAL YEARS AGO.  
OUR CONCERN IS NOT DIRECTED TOWARDS THE INTENTIONS OF  
PAKISTAN, BUT TOWARDS THE GENERAL PROBLEM OF THE PROLIFERATION  
OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS WHICH CAN HAVE, IN OUR VIEW, DISASTROUS  
CONSEQUENCES FOR THE FUTURE OF MANKIND. THE PRIME MINISTER  
AND I HAVE HAD EXTENSIVE TALKS ABOUT THESE TWO..., ABOUT THE  
PROBLEMS THAT ARE PRODUCED BY THESE TWO ATTITUDES. WE HAVE  
AGREED TO CONTINUE THESE DISCUSSIONS IN THE WEEKS AND MONTHS  
AHEAD, BUT WE ARE GOING TO CONDUCT THESE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE  
DETERMINATION ON BOTH SIDES THAT THERE WILL BE NO CONFRONTATION  
ON THAT ISSUE.

Q. SIR, THERE APPEARS TO BE A DICHOTOMY IN THE AMERICAN  
APPROACH TO THE NUCLEAR EFFORTS IN PAKISTAN AND INDIA. HOW DO  
YOU RESOLVE THAT?

A. NO, THERE IS NO DICHOTOMY IN THE APPROACH TOWARDS  
INDIA AND PAKISTAN. WE HAVE DEPLORED, WE HAVE GREATLY DEPLORED,  
THE INDIAN EFFORT IN SETTING OFF A NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE DEVICE,  
AND WE BELIEVE THAT WHAT INDIA HAS DONE IS VERY INIMICABLE  
TO THE PROCESS OF PROLIFERATION AND THEREFORE TO THE  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03     STATE 200230

PROBLEMS OF WORLD PEACE. WE NEVERTHELESS -- AND WE ALSO CAN  
UNDERSTAND THE CONCERNS OF PAKISTAN WITH RESPECT TO THAT --  
NEVERTHELESS WE BELIEVE THAT THE SPREAD OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS  
AND NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE TECHNOLOGY IS OF SUCH LONG-RANGE  
DANGER TO THE SURVIVAL OF MANKIND THAT AT SOME POINT THE LINE  
MUST BE DRAWN, AND THE PROBLEM WE NOW FACE IN THE DISCUSSIONS  
THAT WE STARTED THIS MORNING IS HOW TO RECONCILE THE PARTICULAR  
CONCERNS OF ONE COUNTRY WITH THE GENERAL CONCERNS. AND WHILE I  
DO NOT SAY WE HAVE REACHED A CONCLUSION, IN FACT WE HAVE NOT  
REACHED A CONCLUSION, WE WILL AS I SAID CONDUCT THESE  
DISCUSSIONS WITH THE ATTITUDE THAT WE WILL AVOID A CONFRONTATION.

Q. SIR, DO YOU GENERALLY BELIEVE THAT DESPITE THE LIFTING

OF THE EMBARGO, THE RATE OF SUPPLY OF ARMS TO PAKISTAN IS RATHER SLOW?

A. WELL, THE AMERICAN BUREAUCRACY IS BECOMING INCREASINGLY COMPLICATED AS ITS NUMBERS MULTIPLY. AND EVEN THE SECRETARY OF STATE HAS TROUBLE GETTING ANSWERS TO HIS QUESTIONS FROM THE BUREAUCRACY, UNRELATED TO PAKISTAN. I BELIEVE THAT THE REQUESTS THAT HAVE BEEN BEFORE US HAVE BEEN, ARE NOW ALL EITHER ANSWERED OR IN THE PROCESS OF BEING ANSWERED, EXCEPT FOR ONE ITEM. AND I BELIEVE THAT WE ARE MAKING PROGRESS IN THIS FIELD NOW.

Q. SR, DID YOU DISCUSS THE PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE SUBCONTINENT AND THE PROPOSAL MOOTED BY THE SHAHANSHAH OF IRAN ABOUT THE COMMON MARKET COMPRISING PAKISTAN, INDIA AND BANGLADESH, AND OTHER COUNTRIES OF THIS REGION? (QUESTION VERY FAINTLY HEARD.) DID YOU DISCUSS THAT?

A. WELL, THE UNITED STATES STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE EFFORTS AT NORMALIZATION IN THIS AREA. AND WE HAVE PAID TRIBUTE TO THE FAR-SIGHTED EFFORTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE BY ALL OF THE PARTIES, BUT WE WOULD LIKE TO PAY PARTICULAR TRIBUTE TO THE PRIME MINISTER FOR HIS EFFORTS IN THIS DIRECTION. AS FOR ANY PARTICULAR SCHEME, SUCH AS THE COMMON MARKET, OF THESE COUNTRIES, THE UNITED STATES DOES NOT OPPOSE IT AND IF IT COMMENDS ITSELF TO THE PARTIES CONCERNED, THE UNITED STATES WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION. BUT IT SEEMS TO ME TO BE A MATTER THAT THE PARTIES INVOLVED HAVE TO DECIDE IN NEGOTIATION AMONG EACH OTHER AND UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 200230

IT IS NOT ONE THAT SHOULD APPEAR AS AN AMERICAN SCHEME.

Q. SIR, YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED WHAT OTHER DISPUTES BETWEEN THE TWO COUNTRIES WHICH HAVE BEEN SETTLED. ONLY ONE REMAINS. AS ONE OF THE PARTIES WHO SUPPORTED THE RESOLUTION IN THE UN, WILL AMERICA BE PLAYING A HELPFUL ROLE IN THE SOLUTION OF THE KASHMIR?  
(QUESTION VERY FAINT.)

A. WELL, AS I HAVE UNDERSTOOD THE POSITION OF THE PRIME MINISTER AND OF THE GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN, THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT WILL BE DISCUSSED BETWEEN THE TWO GOVERNMENTS IN A PRINCIPLED BUT PATIENT MANNER AND THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN HAS NOT ASKED ANY OUTSIDE COUNTRY TO INJECT ITSELF IN THESE NEGOTIATIONS. WE WELCOME ANY EFFORTS AT A PEACEFUL RESOLUTION AND WE ALSO COMMEND THE BASIC ATTITUDE OF NEGOTIATING THIS ISSUE WITH PATIENCE AND ON THE BASIS OF PRINCIPLE.

Q. MR. SECRETARY, WHAT CONNECTION IF ANY IS THERE BETWEEN THE PURCHASE OF A REPROCESSING PLANT AND THE SALE OF AMERICAN WEAPONS TO PAKISTAN?

A. I DO NOT WANT TO SAY MORE ABOUT THE PROBLEM OF REPROCESSING THAN WHAT I HAVE ALREADY STATED. THESE ARE COMPLICATED NEGOTIATIONS AMONG FRIENDS AND THEY WILL BE DISCUSSED ON THEIR MERITS WITHOUT BLACKMAIL OR PRESSURE BY EITHER SIDE. WE HAVE TO RECONCILE THESE TWO PRINCIPLES THAT I HAVE ENUNCIATED AT THE BEGINNING, THE CONCERN OF PAKISTAN WITH AGREEMENTS IT HAS MADE IN GOOD FAITH ON THE BASIS OF THE KNOWLEDGE IT HAD AT THE TIME OF MAKING IT, AND THE CONCERN OF THE UNITED STATES WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF NONPROLIFERATION. AND THESE DISCUSSIONS WILL CONTINUE.

Q. MR. SECRETARY, WILL THE UNITED STATES NOW SELL AIRCRAFT TO PAKISTAN?

A. WELL, I DON'T WANT TO GOT INTO ANY PARTICULAR SUPPLY RELATIONSHIP. WE WILL LOOK AT PAKISTAN'S REQUESTS WITH A BASIC ATTITUDE OF INTEREST IN THE TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF PAKISTAN AND WE WILL DISCUSS THEM AS THEY COME AND ON THEIR UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 200230

MERITS.

Q. SR, DO YOU REQUIRE SOME FURTHER SAFEGUARDS ON THIS REPROCESSING PLANT? OR IS IT YOUR POSITION THAT PAKISTAN SHOULD NOT HAVE IT AT ALL UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES?

A. WELL, THE PROBLEM OF REPROCESSING IS NOT ONE THAT IS DIRECTED AGAINST ANY ONE COUNTRY. WE HAVE FOR EXAMPLE WORKED OUT SOME ARRANGEMENTS ON REPROCESSING WITH IRAN THAT MAY OR MAY NOT COMMEND ITSELF TO OTHER NATIONS AS THEY STUDY THE PROBLEM OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. WE BELIEVE THAT WHAT WE SHOULD LOOK FOR -- I AM SPEAKING NOW FOR THE UNITED STATES, NOT FOR PAKISTAN -- THE UNITED STATES WILL TRY TO ELABORATE GENERAL PRINCIPLES WITH RESPECT TO REPROCESSING THAT WOULD APPLY EQUALLY TO ALL COUNTRIES AND THAT DO NOT INVOLVE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST ANY ONE PARTICULAR COUNTRY. AND IT'S WITH THIS ATTITUDE THAT WE CONDUCT OUR CONVERSATIONS ALSO WITH OUR FRIENDS HERE.

Q. (PAKISTANI JOURNALIST -- QUESTION INAUDIBLE)

A. I HAVE NOT SAID THAT ANY CONCLUSIONS HAVE BEEN REACHED. AND I AM SURE THAT THE PAKISTANI PRESS KNOWS THE PRIME MINISTER IS A MAN OF PRINCIPLE AND OF SPIRIT. ALL I DESCRIBED WAS THE ISSUE AS WE DEFINED IT AND THE ATTITUDE WITH WHICH WE WILL SEEK TO SOLVE IT. AND WE HAVE SOLVED OTHER PROBLEMS BETWEEN US, IN FACT WE HAVE ALWAYS SOLVED PROBLEMS BETWEEN US, AND WE WILL APPROACH IT IN THIS SPIRIT.

Q. MR. SECRETARY, YOU SAID THAT THERE WILL BE NO CONFRONTATION, BUT THE U.S. CONGRESS APPEARS TO BE BENT ON CONFRONTATION OVER THIS ISSUE; ON THE BASIS OF YOUR CONVERSATIONS HERE, ARE YOU

CONFIDENT THAT YOU CAN HANDLE THE CONGRESSIONAL ATTITUDE?

A. WELL, AS I POINTED OUT WE WILL HAVE FUTURE DISCUSSIONS OVER THE WEEKS AND MONTHS AHEAD AND WE ARE VERY HOPEFUL TO AVOID CONFRONTATION FROM ANY SOURCE.

Q. SIR, IF PAKISTAN GOES AHEAD WITH THE REPROCESSING PLANT, WILL THIS MEAN A CUT IN AMERICAN ECONOMIC AND MILITARY AID?

A. WELL I THINK THAT IT'S TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE FOR ME TO UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 200230

SPECULATE NOW ABOUT EVENTS THAT MAY HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE. I HAVE COME HERE AS A FRIEND AND WE ARE DISCUSSING THESE ISSUE AS FRIENDS SO THERE'S NO POINT IN SPECULATING ABOUT HOW PROVISIONS IN OUR LAW WILL APPLY TO CIRCUMSTANCES THAT AT THIS MOMENT DO NOT EXIST.

Q. SIR, IS THERE ANY POSSIBILITY THAT FRENCH REPROCESSING PLANTS WILL BE USED AS SORT OF A REGIONAL CENTER SUCH AS YOU WERE DISCUSSING WITH IRAN?  
(REMAINDER OF QUESTION UNINTELLIGIBLE.)

A. I JUST DON'T WANT TO GO INTO THE VARIOUS POSSIBILITIES THAT MAY EMERGE FROM DISCUSSIONS. I HAVE EXPLAINED THE SPIRIT WITH WHICH THESE NEGOTIATIONS WILL BE CONDUCTED AND THE ATTITUDES THAT BOTH SIDES HAVE, AND FROM THAT IT SHOULD BE APPARENT THAT WE WILL LOOK FOR CONSTRUCTIVE SOLUTIONS.

Q. SIR, IS IT TRUE THAT YOUR ATTITUDE, THE US ATTITUDE ABOUT THE REPROCESSING PLANT REMAINS THE SAME THAT IT WAS BEFORE YOUR ARRIVAL IN LAHORE?

A. THE BASIC U.S. ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE PROBLEM OF REPROCESSING AND ITS IMPACT ON NONPROLIFERATION IS AS I HAVE STATED AT THE BEGINNING, IT IS SUBSTANTIALLY UNCHANGED. BUT THAT IS NOT, BUT THIS IS A BASIC ATTITUDE, IT IS NOT TO BE APPLIED TO CONCRETE CIRCUMSTANCES. AND THAT IS WHAT WE WILL TRY TO DISCUSS IN THE WEEKS AND MONTHS AHEAD.

Q. SIR, THE INDIAN ATOMIC ENERGY CHIEF HAS SAID THAT WITHIN FIVE YEARS INDIA WILL BE SELF-SUFFICIENT IN NUCLEAR MATERIALS. MEANWHILE THE AMERICANS ARE PROVIDING INDIA WITH NUCLEAR FUELS.  
(PAKISTANI JOURNALIST -- INAUDIBLE)

A. NO THE AMERICAN SUPPLY TO INDIA REFERS TO ONE PARTICULAR CONTRACT THAT HAS BEEN MADE MANY YEARS AGO. CERTAINLY WITH RESPECT TO ANY NEW CONTRACTS THE UNITED STATES WOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE IMPACT OF INDIAN ATTITUDES ON THE PROBLEM OF NONPROLIFERATION AND WOULD INSIST ON SAFEGUARDS THAT WOULD GIVE US ASSURANCE WITH RESPECT TO THIS. THE ONLY IMMEDIATE ISSUE IS WHETHER A CONTRACT THAT WAS MADE

MANY YEARS AGO SHOULD BE FULFILLED WITH RESPECT TO ONE  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 07 STATE 200230

PARTICULAR REACOTR, AND THAT RAISES QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT  
TO THE GENERAL RELIABILITY OF AMERICAN CONTRACTS ONCE THEY  
ARE MADE. THIS IS THE ISSUE THAT ARISES. BUT IN ANY NEW CONTRACT  
THAT INDIA WOULD ATTEMPT TO MAKE WITH US, THE BASIC PRINCIPLE  
I HAVE STATED WITH NONPROLIFERATION WOULD BE STRINGENTLY APPLIED.

Q. SIR, TALKING ABOUT CONTRACTS, IS IT POSSIBLE THAT PAKISTAN  
CAN NOW BACK OUT OF THE FRENCH DEAL IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT IT HAS  
ALREADY SIGNED A CONTRACT?

A. I SIMPLY DO NOT THINK THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE FOR ME  
TO GO INTO ALL THE COMPLEXITIES. THIS IS ONE  
OF THE ASPECTS OF THE PAKISTAN PROBLEM THAT EXISTS AND OF COURSE,  
AS THE PRIME MINISTER SAID YESTERDAY, PAKISTAN CONDUCTS A  
PRINCIPLED POLICY. WE HAVE TO LOOK AT ALL OF THESE ASPECTS  
IN OUR DISCUSSIONS. AND I WILL NOT NOW PREJUDGE WHAT THE  
POSSIBLE OUTCOME COULD BE, WHAT SOLUTIONS COULD BE FOUND, IF ANY  
SOLUTIONS CAN BE FOUND. BUT THE SPIRIT IN WHICH WE HAVE  
ALWAYS CONDUCTED OUR DISCUSSIONS, AND THE ATTITUDE WHICH  
WE HAVE DECIDED TO ADOPT, AT LEAST MAKES ME BELIEVE THAT  
WE WILL GIVE IT A VERY GOOD TRY. I THINK I BETTER GET  
MY PLANE.

THANK YOU. UNQUOTE GIBNEY UNQUOTE KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 15 SEP 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 12 AUG 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976STATE200230  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** JR.  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D760310-0365  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t197608103/baaaeptr.tel  
**Line Count:** 302  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN NEA  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** CunninFX  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 26 MAR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <26 MAR 2004 by ReddocGW>; APPROVED <18 JAN 2005 by CunninFX>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** DR. KISSINGER'S AUGUST 9 LAHORE PRESS CONFERENCE TEXT FOLLOWING IS TEXT SECRETARY KISSINGER'S PRESS CONFERENCE AT LAHORE AIRPORT UPON DEPARTURE AUGU ST 9  
**TAGS:** OVIP, (KISSINGER, HENRY A)  
**To:** NEW DELHI  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006